

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/707,512	12/19/2003		Chi-Cheng Lin	ACMP0125USA	1511		
27765	7590	11/29/2004		EXAMINER			
NAIPO (NO	RTH A	MERICA INTEI	JEAN PIERRE, PEGUY				
P.O. BOX 506							
MERRIFIELI), VA	22116	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				2010			

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
			12	LIN, CHI-CHENG					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit					
		Peguy Jo	eanPierre	2819					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 17 October 200	4.						
	•	b)⊠ This action is r		70					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)	Claim(s) 1.3.4.6.7 and 9-14 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 4.6.7 and 9-14 is/are allowed Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	e withdrawn from cod. ion and/or election received and accepted or bythe the correction is required.	nsideration. requirement. Dipolected to by the line held in abeyance. See the difference of the diff	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	-,							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/707,512 Page 2

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claim 2 (now canceled) is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Takeoshi. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (USP 6,011,503) in view of Takeoshi (USP 6,411,241).

Lee et al. disclose in Figure 4 a converting module for converting a first analog voltage to digital output value. The system comprises a comparator unit (CP4 _{1,2}) that compares the first analog voltage (IN) to a threshold voltage namely Vref/2 to a first group of digital data that contains at least one digit; a subtracting circuit (42) generates a second analog voltage by subtracting the threshold voltage Vref/2 from the first analog voltage (IN) if the comparison indicates that the first analog voltage is greater than the threshold voltage Vref/2 (see col. 4, lines 48-62). The second analog voltage produces by the subtracting unit is converted to a second group of digits via encoder (43). The first group of digits and the second group of digits are concatenated (2) to form the digital output value. Lee et al. fail to disclose a controller that controls the subtraction circuit and the analog to digital converter.

Takeoshi discloses in Figure 8 an analog to digital converter that comprises a control unit (352) that controls a subtraction circuit (306) and the analog to digital converter according to a

Application/Control Number: 10/707,512 Page 3

Art Unit: 2819

comparison result. The control unit is designed to synchronize the operation of the converter.

Therefore, it would have been obvious tone having ordinary skill in the art to implement in the

system of Lee the controller as taught by Takeoshi to improve the performance and accuracy so

critical in analog to digital converter.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

5. Claims 4, 6-7, 9-14 allowed.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peguy JeanPierre whose telephone number is (571) 272-1803.

The examiner fax phone number is (571) 273-1803.

Peguy JeanPierre

Primary Examiner